



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24373

**Title:** Removal of large foreign body in rectosigmoid colon by colonoscopy using gastrolith forceps

**Reviewer's code:** 03478890

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-21 09:17

**Date reviewed:** 2016-01-25 04:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report. There are some syntax errors, which I have fixed (attached).



**ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24373

**Title:** Removal of large foreign body in rectosigmoid colon by colonoscopy using gastrolith forceps

**Reviewer’s code:** 02456011

**Reviewer’s country:** United States

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-21 09:17

**Date reviewed:** 2016-02-02 07:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors present a case report of removing a large rectal foreign body using gastrolith forceps. 1. Any more past medical history available for this patient (has this happened before, medical problems)? 2. More detail about the patient’s physical examination would be helpful (vitals, abdominal exam/signs of perforation). 3. In the discussion, how does this method differ from ones that have been previously reported? 4. More description of the foreign body removal should be provided (description of gastrolith forceps, was the foreign body just pulled through the anus or was any dilation performed) 5. Was there any follow-up of the patient afterward? The authors present a case report of removing a large rectal foreign body using gastrolith forceps. 1. Any more past medical history available for this patient (has this happened before, medical problems)? 2. More detail about the patient’s physical examination would be helpful (vitals, abdominal exam/signs of perforation). 3. In the discussion, how does this method differ from ones that have been previously reported? 4. More description of the foreign body removal should be provided (description of gastrolith forceps, was the foreign body just pulled through the anus or was any dilation performed) 5. Was there any



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

follow-up of the patient afterward?



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 24373

**Title:** Removal of large foreign body in rectosigmoid colon by colonoscopy using gastrolith forceps

**Reviewer's code:** 03262874

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2016-01-21 09:17

**Date reviewed:** 2016-02-15 06:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting case and certainly the Xray imaging is nice. There are a few grammatical errors in sentence construction especially in your case report section and this needs to be edited. Please describe the gastrolith forceps as this is not a standard forceps used world wide. It is like a snare forceps ? if it is snare forceps, what shape and size was used. Please change your figure legend as you have copied the exact the phrase from your text. Perhaps rephrasing and shortening it will be more readable for the readers.