

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 25044

Title: Colitis cystica profunda of the rectum: An unexpected operative finding

Reviewer's code: 03252388

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-02-23 10:33

Date reviewed: 2016-02-23 12:32

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this is a well written case report, with adequate review of the literature. It is important to consider CCP in the differential of rectal submucosal lesions. The endoscopic image is not very clear, and a better image is preferred.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 25044

Title: Colitis cystica profunda of the rectum: An unexpected operative finding

Reviewer's code: 00035901

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-02-23 10:33

Date reviewed: 2016-02-23 13:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present case report was well investigated and will give us a new information. I have no claim.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 25044

Title: Colitis cystica profunda of the rectum: An unexpected operative finding

Reviewer's code: 02444864

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-02-23 10:33

Date reviewed: 2016-03-07 19:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the submitted case report, a case of colitis cystica profunda is presented. The entity is well known among GI pathologists, however due to its rare occurrence still remains of interest. The paper needs revision in particular due to some unclarities and missing data. Major Comments The description of "associated rectocele and rectal mucosa prolapse" is unclear (page 5). In the histological description, there is no comment regarding changes of the overlying mucosa. What about the reported "benign hyperplastic polyp" of the biopsy material and the "multiple rectal polyps" mentioned in the first endoscopic examination? In the last paragraph, the description "mucinous columnar" is not appropriate and should be replaced by "the cyst is lined by atrophic colonic mucosa and colonic mucinous epithelium". The calcification is "dystrophic" and not "degenerate". In the Discussion, differential diagnosis from other histological lesions, such as inverted polyp, pseudoinvasion, etc, has to be included. Minor Comments The only representative key words are colitis cystic profunda and rectal polyp. Historical information should not be included in the Abstract. Comas in the text need corrections.