

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 26895

Title: Cystic jejunal duplication with Heinrich's type I ectopic pancreas, incidentally discovered in a patient with pancreatic tail neoplasm

Reviewer's code: 00289471

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-29 16:56

Date reviewed: 2016-05-08 17:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case is very well presented, I just would like more details on radiological preoperative study. Ultrasound examination is very effective in detecting cysts, how the authors explain that it was not recognized before operation? Why an RMI or CT scan was not performed preoperatively in the suspect of pancreatic tumor? The patient presented with similar symptoms (jaundice) a few of time after operation, the biliary stone was not previously detected? Do the author think that alcohol abuse facilitated expression of illness? There is a connection between the malformation and the low grade neoplasia?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 26895

Title: Cystic jejunal duplication with Heinrich's type I ectopic pancreas, incidentally discovered in a patient with pancreatic tail neoplasm

Reviewer's code: 02445477

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-04-29 16:56

Date reviewed: 2016-05-09 11:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

English is pretty rough. Rarity is no criteria for acceptance of manuscript. Abstract needs to be shortened. What is "particular features" you should rewrite "This well defined cyst was firmly attached to jejunum, being covered by intact jejunal mucosa" I feel it is not appropriate to write communicating fistula... cyst open into jejunum. It is just like true diverticulum In introduction references need to be reduced and may be shortened A hypothesis of its origin was presented in the Discussion may be deleted sentences are unusually long in some places. History of fatty liver should have been written separately. declared should be replaced by RECORDED What is sensibility in the right lower quadrant was noted? The signed informed consent of the patient for performing surgery and publication of the data was preoperatively obtained should be omitted is it nodule or cystic swelling? what is 2.5 and 9 cm margins? say how much length of jejunum was resected