



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 34667

Title: Do you want to participate in a clinical study as a healthy control? - Risk or benefit?

Reviewer’s code: 00227683

Reviewer’s country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-05-17

Date reviewed: 2017-05-19

Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Regarding this case report, I have three minor comments as follows: 1. Please indicate the study with reference or the project. 2. Please indicate the nodules with arrow in imaging, Figure 1. 3. Regarding “within no time”, please clarify it.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 34667

Title: Do you want to participate in a clinical study as a healthy control? - Risk or benefit?

Reviewer's code: 00724250

Reviewer's country: Morocco

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2017-05-17

Date reviewed: 2017-05-30

Review time: 13 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting paper discussing risks and benefits to volunteer for a clinical study. Some modifications must be done to consider the work: 1- Where is the abstract/ summary? 2- reference of rate mortality?, reference of incidence of the tumor (8% of all thyroid carcinoma)? 3- References must be added: - Giovanella L, Verburg FA, Imperiali M, Valabrega S, Trimboli P, Ceriani L. Comparison of serum calcitonin and procalcitonin in detecting medullary thyroid carcinoma among patients with thyroid nodules. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2013 Jul;51(7):1477-81. - Kaczka K, Mikosiński S, Fendler W, Jałocha-Kaczka A, Pomorski L. Can procalcitonin be useful for medullary thyroid cancer? Endokrynol Pol. 2010 Sep-Oct;61(5):430-6.