

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 25997

Title: Indolent lung opacity: Ten years follow-up of pulmonary inflammatory pseudo-tumor

Reviewer's code: 02499955

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-31 13:56

Date reviewed: 2016-04-02 01:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is well written and the topic captures your attention; I believe that shortening the text can improve the overall appearance. A few points would have better defined: 1 Case presentation, seventh line: Authors should clarify whether a frozen section was done. 2 Case presentation, ninth line: Authors should precisely define the final diagnosis. 3 Case presentation, last line: on TC, the mass seems to compress the bronchus for the middle lobe; Authors should convincingly motivate the decision not to proceed with the mass removal.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 25997

Title: Indolent lung opacity: Ten years follow-up of pulmonary inflammatory pseudo-tumor

Reviewer's code: 00608206

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-31 13:56

Date reviewed: 2016-04-04 04:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

REVIEW The authors present a case of pulmonary inflammatory pseudotumor (IPT) and perform a review of this pathology. The review is well structured and current enough. The article provides information that is useful for application to clinical practice. HOWEVER, in the clinical case presented, in my opinion, it is arguably the conservative actuación followed. While it may be debatable, in the present case, resection of the lesion, in the case of a woman 43 years and with acceptable pulmonary function, I think it is the best choice. I think the article is of interest and has clinical utility.