



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 28677

Title: Guidance on opioids prescribing for the management of persistent non-cancer pain in older adults

Reviewer's code: 00506041

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-07-14 18:03

Date reviewed: 2016-08-05 23:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors presented current advances of chronic pain management in the geriatric population. Citing several studies conducted recently, the authors not only introduced opioid use on elders with chronic pain in general, they also gave many useful tips on how to effectively use opioids in non-cancer chronic pain, while preventing/managing the adverse effects. Taken together, this article would provide valuable information for readers interested in this field, especially practitioners who are working with elderly people. Specific comments are as follows: 1. The authors should review the English in this article, in particular grammar and spelling. In the abstract section, "old population" should be called "elders or elderly population" instead, and the word "polypharmacy" was spelled with an "i" in the abstract. The title "PECULIARITIES OF OPIOID USE IN OLDER ADULTS" seems to be a misuse of "peculiar", perhaps the authors should consider revision. 2. When introducing chronic pain in the elder population, the authors could consider giving more information on the major causes of these symptoms and what other approaches are available to doctors besides opioids. Why are opioids the best choice? The authors should consider



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

discussing this case in one or two paragraphs.



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases
ESPS manuscript NO: 28677
Title: Guidance on opioids prescribing for the management of persistent non-cancer pain in older adults
Reviewer's code: 00506041
Reviewer's country: China
Science editor: Shui Qiu
Date sent for review: 2016-07-14 18:03
Date reviewed: 2016-08-05 23:21

Table with 4 columns: CLASSIFICATION, LANGUAGE EVALUATION, SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT, CONCLUSION. It contains checkboxes for various criteria like 'Grade A: Excellent', 'Priority publishing', 'Google Search', 'Accept', etc.

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this review, the authors presented current advances of chronic pain management in the geriatric population. Citing several studies conducted recently, the authors not only introduced opioid usage on elders with chronic pain in general, they also gave many useful tips on how to effectively use opioids in non-cancer chronic pain, while preventing/managing the adverse effects. Taken together, this article would provide valuable information for readers interested in this field, especially practioners who are working with elderly people. Specific comments are as follows: 1. The authors should review the English in this article, in particular grammar and spelling. In the abstract section, "old population" should be called "elders or elderly population" instead, and the word "polypharmacy" was spelled with an "i" in the abstract. The title "PECULIARITIES OF OPIOID USE IN OLDER ADULTS" seems to be a misuse of "peculiar", perhaps the authors should consider revision. 2. When introducing chronic pain in the elder population, the authors could consider giving more information on the major causes of these symptoms and what other approaches are available to doctors besides opioids. Why are opioids the best choice? The authors should consider



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

discussing this case in one or two paragraphs.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 28677

Title: Guidance on opioids prescribing for the management of persistent non-cancer pain in older adults

Reviewer's code: 00529915

Reviewer's country: Ireland

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-07-14 18:03

Date reviewed: 2016-08-07 00:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author It is a well written solicited review paper which counteract the myth about opioid use in elderly population. I have following minor suggestions to further improve the manuscript.

1. It would be more logical to make the core-tip "informative" rather than to leave it "indicative" because already the title of your review is "indicative" [see the reference below and file attached].
2. Elaborate the psychiatric condition a little bit because a suicidal attempt by using opioids overdose will be disastrous.
3. Transition from oral to transdermal route or vice versa requires some caution.
4. No sudden withdrawal of opioids as it can be dangerous for elderly.

References:
<http://www.respond2articles.com/ANA/forums/post/2016.aspx>



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 28677

Title: Guidance on opioids prescribing for the management of persistent non-cancer pain in older adults

Reviewer's code: 02484487

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2016-07-14 18:03

Date reviewed: 2016-08-12 22:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please check as the whole manuscript has a lot of spelling errors including the abstract Please check grammar also Topic is informative and can be published with minor changes