

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 28773

Title: Decoding white coat hypertension

Reviewer's code: 00070411

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-07-18 10:44

Date reviewed: 2016-08-28 22:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

White-coat hypertension is frequent clinical entities that need appropriate recognition and a close diagnostic follow-up. As compared to casual or in-office BP measurements, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) data is a more accurate reflection of patients' true or actual BP. This paper reviews two decades of ABPR, providing a more comprehensive definition describing for characteristic (including the initial office simulating pressure, the bimodal pressure reduction, the controversial nighttime dip, the rarity of diastolic white coat hypertension, the triggers of the hypertensive episode, and the relationship to essential hypertension). This is a paper in a potentially very interesting area. Unfortunately, the paper is of poor quality, and the data are too preliminary.

1. Introduction - this section is too long. It would be better to cut to ~1-1.5 pages. Do not review the subject extensively.
2. The author should provide detailed data including statistical analysis in the METHOD and ANALYSIS sections.
3. In this review, the author just listed the data, lacking analysis and critical discussion.
4. Conclusions - This should state clearly the main conclusions of the review. The authors should be advised to rewrite this section.
5. References - References number?

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 28773

Title: Decoding white coat hypertension

Reviewer's code: 00607647

Reviewer's country: Argentina

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2016-07-18 10:44

Date reviewed: 2016-07-21 22:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This interesting review analyzed the white coat hypertension not as one entity but a sequential phenomenon. This interpretation is original and at least, deserves attention. I suggest including data on their own experience and the possible association with markers of risk in some of the period of 24hs WCH.