



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 40787

**Title:** Sub-Tenon’s urokinase injection-assisted vitrectomy in the early treatment of suprachoroidal hemorrhage: Four cases report and review of literature

**Reviewer’s code:** 02714171

**Reviewer’s country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-09-11

**Date reviewed:** 2018-09-14

**Review time:** 3 Days

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                          | LANGUAGE QUALITY                                      | CONCLUSION                              | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS              |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept         | Peer-Review:                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language      | (High priority)                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good      | polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept         | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair      | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of     | (General priority)                      | Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not    | language polishing                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript:              |
| publish                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection           | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced     |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection      | <input type="checkbox"/> General      |
|   |   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
|   |   |   | Conflicts-of-Interest:                |
|   |   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes          |
|   |   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No           |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript of Chai and co-authors is well-written, the idea is original and the study well conducted.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No

##### *BPG Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 40787

**Title:** Sub-Tenon’s urokinase injection-assisted vitrectomy in the early treatment of suprachoroidal hemorrhage: Four cases report and review of literature

**Reviewer’s code:** 00722050

**Reviewer’s country:** Canada

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-09-28

**Date reviewed:** 2018-09-29

**Review time:** 1 Day

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION   | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced  |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> General              |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|  |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Sub-Tenon's urokinase injection-assisted vitrectomy is an interesting technique and the authors present a small series of cases. There are some inconsistencies in the text, which are mostly of stylistic nature (e.g., sometimes the authors talk about a woman,



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

sometimes female). It does not read very well. Some images are blurred and a better resolution is imperative.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 40787

**Title:** Sub-Tenon's urokinase injection-assisted vitrectomy in the early treatment of suprachoroidal hemorrhage: Four cases report and review of literature

**Reviewer's code:** 03741310

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-09-11

**Date reviewed:** 2018-10-04

**Review time:** 23 Days

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION   | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                    |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not          | language polishing  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript:                    |
| publish   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision            | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced           |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise       |
|   |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                      |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                |
|   |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No      |

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, Chai et al reported a new surgical procedure treating clot caused by SCH. Their work is interesting with potential usefulness for a wilder clinic application. I have the following comments. It seems that the visual outcome of the 4 cases reported here



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

were not exciting. The procedure the authors used is more effective on anatomical recovery. In this situation, the authors should discuss the reasons and explain why the anatomical recovery is also important and essential for patient with SCH. Since the authors are trying to propose a new surgical procedure and I understood that it's not possible to launch a control group now. They should try to compare their new method with the current ones and outline the advantages. There are many regimes other than urokinase used in clinic for clot dissolution, the authors may need discuss why they chose urokinase. Discussion section paragraph 2, "Excellent final anatomical results were also achieved in cases 1 and 3" dose not make sense, case 3 and 4?

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No