

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 36864

Title: Fetal fulminant herpes simplex hepatitis in a postsurgical adult

Reviewer's code: 00681914

Reviewer's country: Slovakia

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2017-11-04

Date reviewed: 2017-11-24

Review time: 20 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The language of this paper is occasionally not quite correct, several amendments are needed, some examples can be seen directly in the manuscript (as suggested by the reviewer). 2. The title should rather be: "Fatal (probably not fetal!)...following surgery in an adult". 3. Abstract: ...negative for anti-HSV immunoglobulins.... Due to the difficulty.. Comment: despite an acyclovir challenge (should be "therapy") on day 8 (should be "from day.") 4. The abbreviation of herpes simplex virus hepatitis should be "HSVH" 5. Case report: The patient developed...deep coma and succumbed (not expired!?) 6. Literature review, the last two sentences: (27.3% vs 63.4 %: here the statistical significance is missing, namely the p value), the same for 9.2% vs 36.4%, respectively, and for.... 25% vs 57%. 7. Discussion.was increased during the course (or what?)postsurgical.. better should be: after surgery (this expression is repeated serveral times)



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

times!)