

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38502

Title: Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03013982

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-02-28

Date reviewed: 2018-03-05

Review time: 4 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] The same title	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[] Duplicate publication	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade D: Rejected	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an excellent case of robotic-assisted resection of choledochal cyst in children. In this report, the authors described the key surgical points and the clinical effects of choledochocystectomy in children performed completely by DaVinci robotic system. The patients's information is full and interesting. And the literature was well reviewed and discussed. Comments 1 The abstract and main text should be edited according to the format of case report. This manuscript described one case, and it's a case report not original articles. 2 Literature review should combined into discussion. 3 Some minor language polishing should be corrected.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38502

Title: Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02546253

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-03-03

Date reviewed: 2018-03-06

Review time: 3 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although this paper provides nothing new, I think it is worth publishing after appropriate amendments made. Major 1) The authors diagnosed this case as type-IVa congenital choledochal cyst. However, preoperative images (ie; MRCP) did not clearly demonstrate the type of congenital choledochal cyst. Please change the MRCP images to make the type of congenital choledochal cyst clear. 2) In "Clinical information", please add the preoperative diagnosis of the type of congenital choledochal cyst 3) In "Discussion", the authors discussed "the classification of choledochal cyst and the surgery for the type IVa" over three paragraph. This content should be added to the 2nd paragraph of "Discussion". 4) The authors did not discuss the advantages of robotic surgery for congenital choledochal cyst over the laparoscopic or open approach. If the aim of this article was to describe the clinical effects of choledochocystectomy in children



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

performed completely by DaVinci robotic system, the discussion about the comparison among these approaches would be hoped. Minor 1) In 2nd line of "Abstract", ".. by DaVinci ..." should be changed to ".. by DaVinci ..". 2) The patient name seemed to be in MRI image. Please delete the patient name. 3) The word "congenital choledochal cyst" was repeated in so many times in this manuscript. Please use the abbreviation. 4) In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", the authors described the sentence of "In vitro jejunum-jejunum end-to-end anastomosis....". I think "end-to side" may be correct. 5) In 7th paragraph of "Discussion", "Iva" should be changed to "IVa".

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38502

Title: Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02945967

Reviewer's country: Denmark

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-02-28

Date reviewed: 2018-03-08

Review time: 7 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case is worth publishing after minor language revision.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 38502

Title: Robotic-assisted Resection of Choledochal Cyst in Children: Case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03016556

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Jiao Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-02-28

Date reviewed: 2018-03-08

Review time: 8 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an very interesting report about a case as type-IVa congenital choledochal cyst. The structure of the manuscript should be re-arranged. After that, it can be published.