

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 43152

**Title:** Development of a biliary multi-hole self-expandable metallic stent for bile tract diseases: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03025567

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2018-11-01 03:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2018-11-02 09:56

**Review time:** 1 Day and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a case report on new types of SEMS. Until now, SEMS has been developed in three types: Uncovered SEMS, Covered SEMS, and Partially Covered SEMS. However, there are advantages and disadvantages of each of the three SEMS. The



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

author has developed a new type of SEMS and contributed a case report showing the merits of this SEMS. However, the following problems are supposed to be corrected and corrected.

**Introduction**

1. The author must accurately describe the background and aim reporting this case report. If we look at the case report form, what was the problem of SEMS so far, how did the author worry about how to overcome those problems, and the author could solve the problem in some way. The author invented this new SEMS, and the authors would like to describe in order of which cases they applied to maximize the benefits of this new SEMS.
2. Please insert the references that describe the problems of SEMS in Introduction part.
3. The current state can not generally accept all the benefits of this SEMS. This is just a case report, not a proven study report. Please write that the author has applied it clinically because it can expect such an effect.
4. Please do not mention anything about unexplained cases and remove them altogether in all parts of manuscript.

**Case reports**

In this case report, it would be better not to describe the general clinical outcome. Please delete all boldly.

1. For each case, the basic clinical information and the radiological findings should be described with figures. The authors described for new stents.
2. The authors did not describe the entire treatment process, but focused on stenting. From the reader's point of view, this case report is not fully understood.

**Discussion**

This section describes the author's thoughts and opinions about the problems or special issues described in the case reports, and cites the related published papers related to the case reports to try to understand what the reader is curious about. However, the authors unilaterally claim the merit of the stent that a new stent could solve everything. Rather than this statement, please describe the author's opinion on the merits of the case mentioned above that led to better patient care. With these techniques, it would be better to briefly describe the conclusion.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 43152

**Title:** Development of a biliary multi-hole self-expandable metallic stent for bile tract diseases: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02441099

**Reviewer's country:** Taiwan

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2018-11-21 04:36

**Reviewer performed review:** 2018-11-26 16:10

**Review time:** 5 Days and 11 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors described the initial experiences of Multi-Hole Self-expandable Metallic Stent (MHSEMS) in the treatment patients of biliary stricture. The novel design of metallic stent is interesting and may be promising; however, I have major concerns



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

about this manuscript. 1. The MHSEMS was theoretically designed to overcome the disadvantages of fully covered and non-covered metallic stents, i.e., migration and occlusion/ tissue ingrowth, irrespectively. The authors should provide the results of 6 cases regarding initial outcome (migration) and follow-up outcome (occlusion/ tissue ingrowth). 2. I would suggest the authors provide a table to show the characteristics and outcomes of 6 cases treated with MHSEMS.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No