

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 49486

Title: Fish bone-induced myocardial injury leading to a misdiagnosis of acute myocardial infarction: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00506608

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's country: United States

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Na Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-09-02 11:59

Reviewer performed review: 2019-09-02 12:06

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting case, but I have a few questions: 1) Why was the patient loaded with Plavix? - I understand the role of anti-platelet agents in STEMI, but this did not appear to be a STEMI 2) You mention the surgery was a "thoracotomy" - why was this chosen over "sternotomy" given the circumstances? 3) Can the authors explain maybe how the bone, which eroded posterior ended up injuring the left ventricle which is more of an anterior lateral structure (vs the left atrium?) - where on the LV? Where there any intra-operative pictures? - how did the surgeon fix this? 4) How did they manage the hole in the esophagus? technically, with the erosion - there was a hole? thank you

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No