

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 48197

Title: A Child with WAS underwent special immune reconstruction after umbilical cord blood transplantation: a case report

Reviewer's code: 00503929

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-19 12:13

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-25 01:31

Review time: 5 Days and 13 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

COMMENTS FROM THE REFEREE General assessment. This is an interesting case report and contains observations of interest for hematologists and immunologists. My main criticism of the study is that "special" reconstitution is referred to throughout,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

while the description is that of an atypical time course for immune reconstitution of different immune cell populations. It is certainly not the description of a "special" type of transplantation procedure or of a novel regimen that yields a better reconstitution pattern. The reason why this patient behaved differently from others using the same strategy for transplantation in the same institution is unclear; it may be related to the rash described in the paper, which could be due to infection. One important point that is not mentioned in the paper is whether the early reconstitution of lymphocyte populations represents solely donor cells, or contains a fraction of recipient lymphocytes which may have helped both in fighting the infection and in promoting an accelerated engraftment of lymphoid cells. This should be discussed by the authors, and the relevant information should be included. Additional comments. This paper must be thoroughly revised as to English usage and typos. In some specific cases, I have provided suggestions to improve the text, since the use of technical terms was either clumsy or unusual. All of these suggestions are to be found below, as annotations in my copy of their manuscript.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No