

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 45609

Title: Individualized minimally invasive treatment for adult testicular hydrocele: A pilot study

Reviewer's code: 02520738

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2019-01-15

Date reviewed: 2019-01-17

Review time: 13 Hours, 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To: Editorial Board World Journal of Clinical Cases Title: "Individualized minimally invasive treatment for adult testicular hydrocele: A pilot study" Dear Editor, I read this manuscript and I think that: - Please discuss the role of care manager in such a



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

context. Please consider and discuss the paper from Ciccone MM et al. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2010 May 6;6:297-305. - The small sample size is a limitation of the study design. This should be discussed in a dedicated limitation section. - A post-hoc sample size calculation should be provided. - A multivariate regression analysis should be performed in order to evaluate the role of confounding factors on final results.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 45609

Title: Individualized minimally invasive treatment for adult testicular hydrocele: A pilot study

Reviewer's code: 01800952

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2019-01-18

Date reviewed: 2019-01-18

Review time: 4 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors claim to have devised a novel procedure to treat hydrocele. Concept is simple based on volume of fluid in the scrotal cavity as determined by ultrasound and predetermined amount of scrotal sheet is resected to avoid recurrence. Problem lies



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

that authors claim it a novel procedure and as such should be compared with a procedure which is a Gold Standard for hydrocele. In this study it is not done and so we do not know the validity and significance of findings like time taken, results, recurrence etc. Authors can go ahead and compare the results with their previous 54 patients who underwent a standard procedure. Short of that results cannot be commented upon. However, if authors want to popularize this procedure by others, a short communication is worth then.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No