

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 51175

**Title:** Giant exophytic cystic adenomyosis with an ectopic Mirena after uterine myomectomy: A case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 02457808

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-09 11:29

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-10-14 18:32

**Review time:** 5 Days and 7 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript describes an original case report dealing with a giant cystic adenomyosis. The authors believe that the giant cystic adenomyosis could derive from the opening of uterine cavity during a previous laparoscopic myomectomy. The authors also report an improvement of the symptoms of the patient after insertion of a levonorgestrel containing intrauterine device into the giant cystic adenomyosis. However, the manuscript has some limitations and issues that remain to be solved.

Major points: 1. The authors should improve Key Words. Ectopic, Surgery, exophytic, Case report do not reflect the focus of the manuscript. "Levonorgestrel containing intrauterine device" should be used instead of Mirena. 2. The authors should explain why looking at TIMELINE (line 54) laparoscopic myomectomy was performed on June 2011, but looking at History of past illness (line 69, 70) laparoscopic myomectomy was performed on 2013. 3. Looking at the intravenous pyelography one cannot say that the intrauterine device is "ectopic". Therefore, Figure 1A is useless and should be removed from the revised manuscript. 4. The Discussion is mainly focused on the role played by Mirena (better "Levonorgestrel containing intrauterine device") on the symptoms of the patient. This part of the discussion should be shortened in the revised manuscript. 5. By contrast, the hypothesis that the giant cystic adenomyoma could derive from the opening of uterine cavity during the laparoscopic myomectomy performed in 2011 (or 2013?) is not well discussed. The authors should support their hypothesis with relevant publications in the revised manuscript.

Minor points: 1. In spite of the enclosed "Non-Native Speakers of English Editing Certificate.pdf" dated 2017, the manuscript still needs English language editing. 2. I suggest to use "Levonorgestrel containing intrauterine device" instead of Mirena throughout the manuscript. 3. Why the authors use "ectopic" for the IUD found into the giant cystic adenomyoma? I think that "out of the uterine cavity" should be better used.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 51175

**Title:** Giant exophytic cystic adenomyosis with an ectopic Mirena after uterine myomectomy: A case report and literature review

**Reviewer's code:** 00200685

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Academic Research, Doctor

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-04 11:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-10-17 18:10

**Review time:** 13 Days and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

I read with great interest the manuscript "Giant exophytic cystic adenomyosis with an ectopic Mirena after uterine myomectomy: A case report and literature review" I have following few comments. 1. Please provide some insights to the laboratory examination performed e.g. Hb, CA-125 etc These tests are also important to check for any superadded infection, pyosalpinx etc. 2. Please elaborate the ultrasound findings in terms of echogenicity, uterine contour, volume, myomectomy remnants if present. 3. What was the presumptive diagnosis based on the MRI. Was there a clinical diagnosis? 4. How was the bleeding pattern, intensity of dysmenorrhea and Hb level noted , was it been recorded for no of days, months, years? and at what time you could see change in the resolution. 5. More history is needed for the the IUD insertion and what type of method was used to reconstruct the uterine wall. This is crucial for good obstetrical outcome. 6.Figures, Ref- OK With due respect to author's efforts and expertise. Thanks

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No