

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 56192

**Title:** Pulmonary benign metastasizing leiomyoma: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 05145282

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-05-23 08:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-05-23 09:18

**Review time:** 1 Hour

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| <b>Scientific quality</b>       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| <b>Language quality</b>         | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>               | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| <b>Re-review</b>                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No   |
| <b>Peer-reviewer statements</b> | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous<br>Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Many thanks for the opportunity to review the article “Pulmonary Benign Metastasizing Leiomyoma: A Case Report and Literature Review” by Dai et al. The paper discuss the diagnosis and management of a benign pulmonary localization of leiomyoma and affirms to conduct a literature review. The case report is acceptable, but I think that authors should produce a more detailed literature review. Authors affirm that there are 100 cases, but in the article, there are only few citations. It would be very useful to produce a synoptic table with the grouping anatomical sites of the 100 cases, so that the reader can have idea where is most frequent, the type of treatment delivered/follow-up and most common symptoms according to site. Below few comments. Best regards

Abstract: Background: repeated used of which Abstract: Case summary: remove “was anxious about the diagnosis of the lung nodules and she suspected that she had lung cancer.” This is not medical context for the manuscript.; I am not sure about he word “hysteromyoma”, please simply use “uterine leiomyoma” Abstract: conclusion: remove “which should be” Text: introduction: see hysteromyoma as previuos and also in the whole article. Text: introduction: the second sentence need a reference. Text: introduction: remove “in her health checkup” Text: treatment: “she was allocated” switch with “she was sent for” Text: I strongly suggest and encourage to use the PRISMA approach, otherwise you should change the title to “narrative review”

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 56192

**Title:** Pulmonary benign metastasizing leiomyoma: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02462252

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** BM BCh, DPhil, FACP, FRCP (C), FRCPA, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-20

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-05-27 08:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-05-27 08:54

**Review time:** 1 Hour

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| <b>Scientific quality</b>       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| <b>Language quality</b>         | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| <b>Conclusion</b>               | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| <b>Re-review</b>                | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |
| <b>Peer-reviewer statements</b> | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous<br>Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No   |

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a good overview and discussion of the subject which will be useful for the readership of the journal.