

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 56316

**Title:** Comparison of identification methods, antimicrobial susceptibility profile, and determination of resistance genes in *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated from pregnant women in northeastern Brazil

**Reviewer's code:** 03035769

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Chairman, Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** France

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-24

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-04-25 03:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-04-25 05:29

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Very interesting paper. The manuscript is interesting and well written. The discussion is very explicative and the images clarify the manuscript. I would recommend to include some more details about findings of clinical examination. The article is well written and could add further scientific and educational value to the existing literature, therefore could have a value to be accepted.