Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 56049

Title: Severe fundus lesions induced by ocular jellyfish stings: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02549888
Position: Editorial Board
Academic degree: DNB, FRCS (Gen Surg), MBBS, MNAMS
Professional title: Full Professor
Reviewer's Country/Territory: India
Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-04-15
Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan
Reviewer accepted review: 2020-07-05 06:44
Reviewer performed review: 2020-07-05 07:03
Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Needs grammatical check. (Severe fundic lesions------) Abstract: well written. Gives a good summary of the article content. Introduction gives adequate information about the condition and the need to know more. Case report: The report is very well written. I would like to appreciate the authors for a elaborate work up of the patient thereby giving a clear picture of the clinical features of the emergency and the underlying pathological changes. The content of the discussion is quite good. However a few corrections are essential with respect to proper citations of references. The findings in the reported caes need to be discussed in comparison to the cited articles. This will add to the authenticity of the report. Conclusion should be changed to include a comprehensive inference and pearls of wisdom for clinicians.