

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 52352

**Title:** Cryoablation for liver metastasis from solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03478326

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow, Academic Research, Doctor, Postdoc, Postdoctoral Fellow, Research Fellow, Surgeon

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-10-29 12:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-10-29 13:15

**Review time:** 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors this is a very interesting manuscript as it proposes a new therapeutic strategy for SPT. Regarding your patient, the following questions should be answered:

- Did your patient take other therapy after the operation (2009)? - What about the diagnosis of metastases of SPT? The patient underwent percutaneous biopsy? - You treated your patient with cryoablation with TAE for first time. Please explain about your decision. Why you didn't think about a surgical removal of metastases? As you applied this therapy for first time, there is no absolute indication for TAE in metastatic SPT. So, did your patient give a written consent about this controversial therapy? - After treatment with TAE, did your patient take other therapy such as chemotherapy? Are there other similar clinical studies? You have to improve the quality of the language.

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 52352

**Title:** Cryoablation for liver metastasis from solid pseudopapillary tumor of the pancreas: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00071178

**Position:** Editor-in-Chief

**Academic degree:** FACS, MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Zhou Tang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-11-11 04:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-11-12 10:51

**Review time:** 1 Day and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors Thank you for this case report. My comment as below: It is not clear what the patient's first surgery was. You mean distal pancreatectomy? I do not understand. The authors stated that SPT is usually considered to be a low malignant tumor and has a good overall prognosis and therefore, surgical resection can be considered an option for treatment in the discussion section. The authors also stated that the lesions were localized only to the right lobe of the liver in the case report section. If surgery is the best option, which I think is a good treatment option for this patient, I would like to know why they do not use surgical treatment. Are treatment alternatives discussed with the patient? I believe that this patient was treated by interventional radiologists for TAE and cryoablation, but I did not see the name of the radiologist in the author list. What makes this case interesting is that the patient was treated with combined cryoablation and TAE. Otherwise, there are more than one hundred cases of SPT in the literature who had liver metastasis.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No