



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 58331

Title: Improvement of lenvatinib-induced nephrotic syndrome after adaptation to sorafenib in thyroid cancer: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02910169

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Poland

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-07-17

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-08-03 10:41

Reviewer performed review: 2020-08-07 10:36

Review time: 3 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case study is very detailed, thoroughly documented (8 figures and 1 table). It's only a second of its kind in the world literature. What is especially important is the practical aspect of the study - the routine test UPCR which is a very cumbersome process of assessment of protein excretion in relation to creatinine in the daily collection of urine is replaced with with the same index determined in any random urine sample. This is documented with a high statistical compliance (figures 7 and 8). All the references are quoted in the manuscript. The descriptive style the authors chose was very original and captivating. Minor editing is required in lines 23-24 "leading to hemodialysis" should be clarified; lines 18-21 should be explained as the meaning is ambiguous.