

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52827

Title: A diverticulum in the oral buccal mucosa -----A case report

Reviewer's code: 03769068

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: Brazil

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-21 14:40

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-26 14:31

Review time: 4 Days and 23 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) The title is redundant. 2) The abstract does not agree with journal rules. 3) The



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

introduction is superficial. This session can be improved. 4) The case report is superficial. This session, by the nature of the study, should be detailed. 5) The conclusion needs to relate more intrinsically to the case.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52827

Title: A diverticulum in the oral buccal mucosa -----A case report

Reviewer's code: 03727239

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Director

Reviewer's country: Japan

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-22 03:00

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-04 13:25

Review time: 12 Days and 10 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported a very rare case of a diverticulum of the oral buccal mucosa. There

have only been 11 previous reports of buccal diverticula. I have a few comments for revision. I hope my comments will make the paper better. Comments Additional images of the coronal CT and histopathological examination are recommended for further understanding of this case report. The surgical procedure should be described. In previous reports of diverticula of the oral buccal mucosa, there was a predilection for male and elderly patients. On the other hand, the patient in the present case was a young woman. The etiology of the buccal diverticulum is unknown, although some theories have been suggested. The cheek is composed of three groups of muscle fibers and the diverticular lesion is caused by a developmental defect occurring due to partial dissection along those muscle fibers (Miyazaki, BMC Oral Health 2018). In the present case, were there any other clinical findings to support the congenital theory? For example, was a partial defect of the muscular layers around the diverticulum observed during the operation? Or was the diverticulum located at the anatomically fragile border of the muscular layers?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No