



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52921

Title: A borderline form of empty follicle syndrome treated with a novel dual trigger method combined with delayed oocyte retrieval: A case report

Reviewer’s code: 03976790

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer’s country: France

Author’s country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-14 19:23

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-21 19:54

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



Comments on the manuscript : “A borderline form of empty follicle syndrome treated with a novel dual trigger method combined with delayed oocyte retrieval: A case report”
A patient with secondary infertility who had undergone three cycles of assisted reproductive technique in three different reproductive centers is described. The history of illnesses is useful. The authors describe the case, and they discuss it according to the available references. In the discussion, I appreciated the caution of the authors (“indeed, Indeed, the existence of EFS remains controversial “; “However, this study had some limitations: a...””) My main remark is about the final diagnostic. The authors write “According to the definition provided in the table, the patient was diagnosed as having a borderline form of EFS.” What table? The case is well described, and the authors discuss about the borderline form of empty follicular syndrome. But, in the final diagnostic, there are no specifications about the criteria used for this diagnostic. So, this part needs to be developed for it is the base of the discussion. It would be useful to develop the Legends of tables 1 and 2 which are not very explanatory.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No