

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 52922

Title: Allograft artery mycotic aneurysm after kidney transplantation: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 02577402

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: China

Author's country: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-26 06:28

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-01 01:05

Review time: 5 Days and 18 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this paper, the authors reported allograft artery mycotic aneurysm after kidney transplantation. 1. Conclusion in the abstract: Please indicate what disease in the selected patients. You have to indicate “in carefully selected patients with allograft artery mycotic aneurysm”. 2. Typo: In the “History of present illness”, “The patients” should be “The patient”. 3. Typo: In “Outcome and follow-up”, “A part from” should be “Apart from”. 4. Discussion: “Early diagnosis is key factor for “ should be “Early diagnosis is a key factor for”. Overall, the manuscript is well written. Please check the whole article and correct all other similar issues.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No