

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61016

**Title:** Robotically assisted removal of pelvic splenosis fifty-six years after splenectomy: a case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02552429

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FACS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** France

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-11-29

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-12-15 12:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-12-18 11:40

**Review time:** 2 Days and 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

We have reviewed the paper entitled “Robotically assisted removal of pelvic splenosis fifty-six years after splenectomy: a case report”. The paper is well written and does not requiring English language editing. The specific interest of this case report is stated by the authors as the long period between the initial splenic trauma and the analysis of the splenic tissue related to a splenosis. This splenosis is not very original but the requirements for pathological tissue justified the need for removal. The use of the robot is another interesting part of this case report. One complementary and useful information could be the description of the positioning of the robot and the trocar as the interest of this case report could be to inform other surgeons who would like to perform similar procedures.