

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61536

Title: Clinical characteristics of intrahepatic biliary papilloma: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03475480

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: Li Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-10 21:37

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-11 08:51

Review time: 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Yi D et al reported clinical cases of IPNB in their institution. They showed clear images and histological findings of the cases. Also, they described the clinical features of IPNB and review of the literature. I think this article is useful for understanding of IPNB for general physician. However, for hepatobiliary physician or surgeons, there is few new findings of IPNB. However, they showed relatively large number cases of IPNB. Therefore, readers might get useful information from this article. **Comment 1** In case presentation, please provide proportion(%) of each number of cases. So, readers can understand the results more easily. **Comment 2** All patients underwent intraoperative choledochoscopy. I think this is priority of this article. So please describe the procedure and images of intraoperative choledochoscopy.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61536

Title: Clinical characteristics of intrahepatic biliary papilloma: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05347071

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Morocco

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-12 11:24

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-12 12:10

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

please add a caption with per operative images, microscopic figures and explanatory radiological images

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 61536

Title: Clinical characteristics of intrahepatic biliary papilloma: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03475238

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-12-10

Reviewer chosen by: Li Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-12-10 22:01

Reviewer performed review: 2020-12-30 04:23

Review time: 19 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reported clinicopathological features of 28 IPNB cases surgically resected in a single center. The authors concluded that early diagnosis and timely R0 resection could improve the prognosis of IPNB. The scope of this paper is interesting and the aim is straightforward; however, this reviewer raises some concerns. 1. Please create a table summarizing 28 IPNB cases. 2. Did 28 IPNB cases show an increase in serum CEA levels as well as serum CA19-9 levels? Please add this point. 3. Please describe the resection procedure for 28 cases. 4. Of the 28 cases of IPNB in this paper, how many cases were able to be correctly diagnosed as IPNB before surgery? In addition, please clearly describe that this study dealt with cases diagnosed with IPNB by postoperative pathological evaluation. 5. This study included 11 cases with high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia and 17 cases with IPNB invasive carcinoma. Were there any differences in tumor markers or imaging findings between the two? I think it is also important to distinguish between the two before surgery.