

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66664

Title: Prognostic factors and its predictive value in patients with metastatic spinal cancer

Reviewer's code: 03656023

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-01 09:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-12 10:42

Review time: 11 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study, the authors analyzed 109 patients with metastatic spinal cancer. The prognoses and survival of the patients were analyzed, and the effects of factors on the prognosis of patients with metastatic spinal cancer were also discussed. The research methods are reasonable and the results are interesting. Minor revisions: 1. Please change the standard \pm deviation to mean \pm SD, which is a standard express. 2. What's article highlights? This section just like the abstract. Please delete it. Anyway, if this is required by the journal, please ignore my suggestion. 3. Some minor spelling mistakes should be corrected.