



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 64777

**Title:** A novel technique of extracorporeal intrauterine morcellation after total laparoscopic hysterectomy- report of emblematic cases

**Reviewer's code:** 05920863

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-02-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** Man Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-22 03:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-06 10:46

**Review time:** 15 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I congratulate the authors for taking up the process of documenting such a useful technique. Overall, the manuscript comply with the standards of the scientific writing. However, I have certain suggestions to make the manuscript read better and acceptable to a larger scientific audience. Title: It can be shortened. I suggest "A novel technique of extracorporeal intrauterine morcellation after total laparoscopic hysterectomy- report of emblematic cases" Core tip: it is copy and paste of abstract section. introduction: any previous attempts to cater to the problem can be highlighted in a brief review of literature. Imaging examination section heading can be reframed as "Imaging and examination findings" Similarly, final diagnosis section can be rephrased. presently, it looks more complicated language use. Detailed description of extracorporeal intrauterine morcellation technique : it is written explicitly. Discussion: I see that discussion is coming out as a weak part of your manuscript. Firstly, it is mostly a repetition of the lines used above. Then, you should compare your novel technique with previous work done in this regards (If any) and how your technique is superior. Then you should explicitly mention the challenges and bottle neck of using this technique. Then based on this witting, you should finally give a recommendation to the readers. If there is a need for further scientific evaluation of the technique, then it should be highlighted. Finally, it was disheartening to see that I could not open the video link as the session had expired. However, I would like to review it again.