



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 65712

**Title:** Status epilepticus as a complication after COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 05907822

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Czech Republic

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-06 02:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-06 13:02

**Review time:** 10 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I have read the manuscript entitled Status epilepticus as a complication after COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine: A case report, submitted to World Journal of Clinical Cases. I have a few comments which I think will improve the paper, which is quite well written.

1. There are some typos in spelling in the article and should be corrected. CASE SUMMARY A 56-year-old man received the first dose of mRNA-1273 vaccine against the severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 ... A 56-year-old man received the first dose of mRNA-1273 vaccine against the severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 ... TREATMENT After some time, 400 mg lecosamide was also ... After some time, 400 mg lacosamide was also ... 2. About the CARE Checklist, it would be better to give the exact number of line/page instead of a tick.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 65712

**Title:** Status epilepticus as a complication after COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03207387

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Dean

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Czech Republic

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-05 00:05

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-30 06:33

**Review time:** 25 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The comments to the NO.65712 are showed as followed: 1. This paper presents a rare case of status epilepticus in a 56-year-old man, which arose as a complication after vaccination with the coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) mRNA-1273 vaccine. And The paper also introduces virology, epidemiology, clinical features, diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19, and they reviewed the literatures related to epilepsy. 2. COVID-19 has attracted the greatest attention in the past two years. There has not been any significant breakthrough in the disease treatment, so the study of COVID-19 vaccine and its complications is very meaningful for disease prevention. In addition, there is no described case of status epilepticus as a complication after vaccination. The paper enriches the understanding of vaccine complications. 3. In Core Tip, it is lack of explanations and analysis for simulation results in the whole paper. (Why did the author think the epilepsy is secondary to fever or immune reaction?) 4. In DISCUSSION, there are too many descriptions about “ how to deal with epilepsy” instead of “how does epilepsy happen in this case” in this paper. 5. The writing of the manuscript needs revision from an English native speaker.