

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 67071

**Title:** Wernicke's encephalopathy in a rectal cancer patient with atypical radiological features: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 05374991

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Attending Doctor, Instructor, Research Assistant

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Syria

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-22

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-22 15:11

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-30 13:42

**Review time:** 7 Days and 22 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors reported an interesting case of a patient with Wernicke's encephalopathy that was unconscious upon presentation, with atypical radiologic signs. The title is suitable and perfectly reflects the manuscript. The abstract is concise and brief. The introduction gives a very good background about Wernicke's encephalopathy. The patient's case is well-presented. The authors described clearly the work-up and management of the patient. I would like to point out that I really liked the fact that the authors mentioned in the "Final Diagnosis" paragraph how they used the medical literature to assist them in the diagnosis. In my opinion, good understanding of the patient's case and an excellent interpretation of what is published, are key skills to achieve a correct diagnosis. The discussion is thorough and informative. The conclusions are reasonable and clearly based on the authors' experience. The figure is reliable. I do not have any comments, the manuscript is excellent in its current form.