

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 63785

Title: Gastric syphilis mimicking gastric cancer: a case report.

Reviewer's code: 03818597

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-22 05:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-22 06:56

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- The bacterial name should stated by italicized font. - In the abstract section; the case presentation and conclusion can be more interesting to cover main finding of study. - Core tip is not appropriate. the author should suggested main findings of the study, and discuss about key diagnosis and therapeutic point using their own experiences and literature review. - Introduction was too small. - The author should be specified the purpose of the study in last paragraph in the introduction section. - The figure resolution was not sufficient; in addition, figure legends should support your figure. i suggest use arrow and etc. to give more details. - please give more details about Treatment and Outcomes and follow-up such as treatment duration, adverse event, etc.

- In discussion section, the author should make a table regarding your case and all previous published cases you mention in the discussion, with al characteristics and treatment approach, duration of treatment and outcomes, etc. - Conclusion and Core tip was repetitive, it is not acceptable. the authors should substantially revised the conclusion.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 63785

Title: Gastric syphilis mimicking gastric cancer: a case report.

Reviewer's code: 02941405

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-08 11:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-30 02:11

Review time: 21 Days and 15 Hours

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Language quality | [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS Attached



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 63785

Title: Gastric syphilis mimicking gastric cancer: a case report.

Reviewer's code: 03818597

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-22

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-21 04:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-21 04:10

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well revised; although, bacterial name was not italic.