

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66134

Title: Omental mass combined with indirect inguinal hernia led to an scrotal mass: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05077783

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-30 12:17

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-31 15:24

Review time: 1 Day and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report an extremely rare case of an omental angiofibroma presenting as a scrotal mass. The case is described in clear and concise language, along with a comprehensive review of the literature. There is one important issue regarding the nomenclature used: while the tumor was initially diagnosed (or rather, misdiagnosed) as an epididymal mass, the final diagnosis was that of an omental tumor presenting as a inguinal/scrotal mass. Except when referring to the initial diagnosis performed in another hospital, the tumor should be addressed as a scrotal mass. I suggest modifying the title of the manuscript in accordance to this (Omental mass combined with indirect inguinal hernia led to an scrotal mass: A case report and literature review OR Omental neoplasm initially diagnosed as an epididymal mass: A case report and literature review). It would also be interesting to describe whether a surgical mesh was placed in the inguinal canal during the procedure.