

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67047

Title: Radial nerve recovery following closed nailing of humeral shaft fractures without

radial nerve exploration: A retrospective observational study

Reviewer's code: 05127202

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-15 13:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-15 14:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author's writing is in the style of an original article, but the type of submission is a case report. The author described the surgical treatment of more than 70 patients, using extremely simple statistical analysis, which should be an observational study. If you want to submit a paper in the form of a case report, you need to modify the format of the article accordingly. The case report does not require limitation, and you need to add image data. Therefore, my opinion is major revision, and submit the manuscript after revision.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67047

Title: Radial nerve recovery following closed nailing of humeral shaft fractures without

radial nerve exploration: A retrospective observational study

Reviewer's code: 02729532

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-16 09:54

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-18 04:36

**Review time:** 1 Day and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] Yes [] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is good. I suggest editing the Conclusions section in abstract to reflect the conclusions from the observations.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67047

Title: Radial nerve recovery following closed nailing of humeral shaft fractures without

radial nerve exploration: A retrospective observational study

**Reviewer's code:** 05480421

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-15 15:46

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-22 19:07

**Review time:** 7 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the present manuscript with great interest. This small case series has a great interest and I believe of worth publihing.



# **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67047

Title: Radial nerve recovery following closed nailing of humeral shaft fractures without

radial nerve exploration: A retrospective observational study

Reviewer's code: 05127202

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-15

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-14 07:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-14 07:21

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



The article has been revised and is ready for publication