

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66305

Title: Diploic vein as a newly treatable cause of pulsatile tinnitus: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05379523

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DDS, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor, Full Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Lebanon

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-28 16:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-02 07:40

Review time: 3 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It would be better if you explain in details the final diagnosis.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 66305

Title: Diploic vein as a newly treatable cause of pulsatile tinnitus: A case report

Reviewer's code: 03912707

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-12

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-10 19:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-19 16:00

Review time: 8 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors of the paper have in their paper "Transcanal endoscopic type III tympanoplasy" have attempted to report long-term outcomes of simultaneous bilateral stapedotomy/stapedectomy. Though the attempt is laudable, I have several issues with the paper. 1- Why authors performed CTA/V, 4D flow MR and DSA together? 2-what means: "The pre//postoperative maximum through-plane velocity and average through-plane velocity of the transverse sinus were 59.1 cm/s/1/107.3 cm/s and 26.1 cm/s/23.3 cm/s, respectively." 3- Are authors received informed consent and the ethical board approval?