

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 64261

Title: Clinical observation of Kuntai capsule combined with Fenmotong in treatment of

decline of ovarian reserve function

Reviewer's code: 06099545

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Venezuela

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-02 08:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-09 01:56

Review time: 6 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript Title: Effect of Kuntai Capsule combined with Fumatong on Endocrine Indexes and Uterine Arterial Circulation in Patients with Reduced Ovarian Reserve Function. 1- Title reflected the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript. 2- The abstract summarized and reflect the described in the manuscript. 3- Key words reflected the focus of the manuscript. 4- The manuscript adequately described the background, presented status and significance of the study. 5- The manuscript described methods (e.g., General information, Diagnostic criteria, Inclusion and exclusion criteria, Detection method, Curative effect standard and Scoring standard, etc.) in adequate detail. 6- The research objectives are achieved by the experiments used in this study. Authors observed the effect of Kuntai capsule combined with FMT on the endocrine index and uterine artery blood circulation of patients with decreased ovarian reserve function. 7-The manuscript interpreted the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. 8- Manuscript included sufficient, good quality Tables. 9- The manuscript cited appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. 10- The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented and the style is accurate and appropriated. I recommend accepting this manuscript for publication after a minor language and grammar editing.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 64261

Title: Clinical observation of Kuntai capsule combined with Fenmotong in treatment of

decline of ovarian reserve function

Reviewer's code: 06099541

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-02 08:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-10 00:55

Review time: 7 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study, to observe the effect of Kuntai capsule combined with FMT on the endocrine index and uterine artery blood circulation of patients with decreased ovarian reserve function, 130 patients with decreased ovarian reserve function were divided into two groups. This topic is actual and well described. The paper is written well, the Introduction give a good overview about the study background and the authors raised clearly the aim of the study. The description of material studied is accurate and allows to draw the conclusions. The material studied is large enough and allows to draw the conclusions. The Results are presented clearly and have been discussed well. Comments 1: Please describe the results in more detail in the text, and some important data need to be listed in the text; Comments 2: Please add limitations of your study to the discussion; Comments 3: Please make changes to some minor erroneous wording in the manuscript, such as delete "And" on page 2 line 12, there is an unusual character in Table 5 on page 16, which needs to be modified, etc. Please check some of the references.