

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68078

Title: Clinical study of the modified Gant procedure for the treatment of internal rectal

prolapse in elderly women

Reviewer's code: 06099628 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: France

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-15 11:41

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-28 13:30

Review time: 13 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

https://www.wjgnet.com

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Intrarectal prolapse tends to affect older women most often. Some patients need surgical treatment when conservative treatment does not improve the condition. Serious complications such as anastomotic fistula, rectal stricture and pelvic infection may occur despite the many surgical options for IRP. Elderly patients are often complicated with medical diseases and have low tolerance for surgery. This study explored a new kind of operation with a reliable curative effect, few postoperative complications and easy tolerance by elderly women with intrarectal prolapse. This study is very interesting, the results are well displayed and well discussed. Minor comments: 1. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. A conclusion should be added to the main text. 3. References should be edited according to the journal's style.