

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68187

Title: Successful management of infected right iliac pseudoaneurysm caused by

penetration of migrated inferior vena cava filter: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02887546

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MAMS, MBBS, PhD

Professional title: Dean, Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-14 15:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-16 11:30

**Review time:** 1 Day and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The authors have presented a rare case of reverse migration of IVC filter to create a pseudo aneurysm in the pelvis. They have reported a surgical correction and follow up. 2. IT is a rare complications and has been well presented with appropriate photographs. 3. There is no specific limitation to mention as it is a case report. They have presented relevant references. 1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work manuscript? Yes described in the manuscript? Yes 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? Yes 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Yes 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? Yes 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? Yes 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? Appropriate 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? Not Applicable. 10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? NA 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite



references? Appropriate 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? Minor language correction needed. Forwarded as attachment. 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement -Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? Yes 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68187

**Title:** Successful management of infected right iliac pseudoaneurysm caused by penetration of migrated inferior vena cava filter: A case report

Reviewer's code: 01221666

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-14 22:00

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-20 01:57

**Review time:** 5 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The rationale to use Moxifloxacin for 6 weeks after surgery? 2. What is the unique point in your case report which can contribute to literature?



### **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68187

**Title:** Successful management of infected right iliac pseudoaneurysm caused by penetration of migrated inferior vena cava filter: A case report

Reviewer's code: 01221666

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-29 14:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-29 14:46

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



I have no other comments.