

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68482

Title: A case involving a choroidal metastatic mucinous abscess caused by Pseudomonas

aeruginosa

Reviewer's code: 05936182 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-27 06:06

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-27 06:29

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Firstly, thank you for chance to review interested article. 1. The title was clear and reflect the main subject of the manuscript about choroidal metastatic mucinous abscess caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. 2. The abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript especially in diagnosis and treatment processes of a case of complex choroidal space-occupying lesion. 3. The key words reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4. The manuscript adequately describe the background, present status of the case, I suggested the authors described data gathering methods (from medical chart or interview or experience). Please give more information about patients (underlying, family history or previous condition). 5. In diagnosis and treatment (line 12) start with diagnosis from previous hospital, please brief explain for diagnosis in hospital. 6. The outcome of case was clear and easy to understand. 7. Illustrations and tables: I very concerned about Fig. 5 8 9 10 11 it's not good quality picture. 8. The manuscript cite appropriately but not the latest references. 9. I didn't see about ethic statements in this case report.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68482

Title: A case involving a choroidal metastatic mucinous abscess caused by Pseudomonas

aeruginosa

Reviewer's code: 00722050 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FRCP (C), MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Canada

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-30 11:20

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-30 11:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report an interesting case of a metastatic mucinous abscess caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The eventuality is not unique, but quite rare. The authors provide photographic documentation, which is outstanding apart from the histology. Histology should be provided on both low and high magnification to allow the reader truly appreciate the pathology.