

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 63626

**Title:** Clinical management and susceptibility of primary hepatic lymphoma: a cases-based retrospective study

**Reviewer's code:** 04410177

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Senior Statistician, Statistical Worker, Statistician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-08-06 07:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-08-10 15:35

**Review time:** 4 Days and 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a cases-based retrospective study to investigate the clinical management of primary hepatic lymphoma patients and further explore the susceptibility of a certain group of people. I think the topic is important and contributive to the clinical practice with an empirical approach quite valuable for primary hepatic lymphoma professionals.

1 Title: Appropriate. 2 Abstract: Well described in the manuscript 3 Key words: Appropriate. 4 Background: Please add references about systemic review or meta-analysis to similar issue. 5 Methods: Why only use Kaplan-Meier method? I mean cox-proportional model is sound if used in this study. 6 Results: Appropriate. But only descriptive table present in this study. Could the authors provide relevant hazard ratios for primary outcome in this study? 7 Discussion: I am not familiar the hypothesis proposed in this study. The authors should clarify this concern for discussion.

8 Illustrations and tables: I am not familiar aggregate survival data. Please introduce commonly used illustrations and tables for aggregate survival data. 9 Biostatistics: Does the manuscript examined by experienced biostatistics? 10 Units: Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of international system of units? 11 References: Please cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation: Please provide English editing certificate. 13 Research methods and reporting: Please provide appropriate research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study,



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines -  
Basic study. 14 Ethics statements: Please provide appropriate ethics approval.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 63626

**Title:** Clinical management and susceptibility of primary hepatic lymphoma: a cases-based retrospective study

**Reviewer's code:** 04410177

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Senior Statistician, Statistical Worker, Statistician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-09-05 01:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-09-05 08:23

**Review time:** 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

This is a revised version. This is a much improved manuscript with an important message to readers. Just one minor revision. 1 Title: Appropriate. 2 Abstract: Well described in the manuscript 3 Key words: Appropriate. 4 Background: Appropriate. 5 Methods: Appropriate. 6 Results: Appropriate. Please correct OR as HR in revision table 4 (i.e., HR for univariate analysis and adjusted HR for multivariate analysis). 7 Discussion: Appropriate. 8 Illustrations and tables: Appropriate. 9 Biostatistics: Appropriate. 10 Units: Appropriate. 11 References: Appropriate. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation: Appropriate. 13 Research methods and reporting: Appropriate. 14 Ethics statements: Appropriate.