

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69341

Title: Extensive abdominal lymphangiomatosis involving the small bowel mesentery: A

case report

**Reviewer's code:** 05264131

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-26 14:31

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-26 14:38

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.The manuscript present the case of a 32-year-old male presented with short onset abdominal pain and melena. The patient reported no pain after 1 week of using oral paracetamol three times per day and was asymptomatic on short term follow-up. Is paracetamol no significant effect on melena? 2. No other treatment?



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69341

Title: Extensive abdominal lymphangiomatosis involving the small bowel mesentery: A

case report

Reviewer's code: 02729415

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-27 06:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-27 06:24

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

An interesting case report