

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67663

Title: Did the severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 pandemic cause an

endemic Clostridium difficile infection?

Reviewer's code: 05469274 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Research
Reviewer's Country/Territory: Algeria
Author's Country/Territory: Romania

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-17 03:17

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-30 11:29

Review time: 13 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comment: A very interesting study. Generally, the paper is well written and easy to read. Nevertheless, amendments need to be done! Thank you for your work! Title: Clear and adequate for the content. Tables: Clear and informative. English: Meaning is conveyed, but grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors need to be corrected. Structure: Well organized. Scientific quality rating This paper presents an original study. The study results are important, and they are likely to be cited. Abstract Clear but it needs amendments. Keywords: reflect the content. Introduction: Very informative. You did a great job. Thank you. Methods: Clear and well explained! Results: Well explained Discussion: Clear but it needs to be more developed. Amendments are required. Conclusion: Related to the aims of the work. References: Well listed. Thank you for your work!