

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69533

Title: Duodenal ulcer caused by coil wiggle after digital subtraction angiography-guided embolization: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05393250

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-04 13:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-10 13:01

Review time: 5 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Which coils embolized were penetrating to duodenum? Did you remove 5 coils? Stopping blood flow might make ischemic ulcer. Did you find any ischemic change in duodenum soon after DSA or in any follow up EGD? I would think migration of embolization coil is not so rare.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69533

Title: Duodenal ulcer caused by coil wiggle after digital subtraction angiography-guided embolization: A case report

Reviewer's code: 04213496

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-04

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-17 12:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-19 09:02

Review time: 1 Day and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this report, the authors reported a rare case of a secondary duodenal ulcer by migrated coil used for digital subtraction angiography (DSA) embolization before. I think the case is worthy to be published because it reminds us of the possibility that embolization coil may be pushed out from a vessel and become a cause of a gastrointestinal ulcer. However, I have some questions and I think some corrections will improve the quality of the report. <Specific comments> 1. What was the cause of the first acute gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB)? The authors should clearly show that the duodenal ulcer did not exist in the first GIB episode and form after the first GIB episode, because of migrated coil. 2. As the author mentioned in discussion, endoscopy is the best initial method for the diagnosis and treatment of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. If so, the authors should describe why second esophagogastroduodenoscopy was not performed before DSA. 3. There are several mistakes about indexes, units, and spaces. Please correct them.