

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 64295

Title: Thoracoscopic segmentectomy and lobectomy assisted by three-dimensional computed-tomography bronchography and angiography for the treatment of primary lung cancer

Reviewer's code: 05408336

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-02-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-21 05:45

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-04 01:59

Review time: 12 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reported the safety and feasibility of 3D-CTBA in performing VATS for lung cancers. These methods are interesting but several problems are included in this report. Major comments #1 Why this cohort is not including the segmentectomy in basal segment including S7, S8, S9, and S10? Criteria of segmentectomy should be described correctly. #2 If utility of 3D-CTBA was assessed in performing VATS for lung cancers, author should be comparing the data between before and after introduction of 3D-CTBA. #3 In Table 1, smoking history and comorbidity should be include in characteristic of patients. #4 Sizes of font are quite small in all Figures. #5 To assesses the safety and feasibility of 3D-CTBA in performing VATS for lung cancers, size of cohort is quite small to conclude those results. Size of cohort should be included limitation. #6 There are several misspellings in this paper. Line54: poteoperative ICU, Line 119: lymph node matastasis or small-cell lung canceris Lien 126: matastasis, Line 133: Patitents, Line256 poteoperative, Line273: to.assess