

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68959

Title: Treatment process of a giant low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm: a case

report

Reviewer's code: 05480421 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-11 07:47

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-14 08:20

Review time: 3 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read this manuscript with great interest. The present case is an intresting case of a common misdiagnosis in the everyday clinical practice. First of all, a language revision is needen in order to improve the quality of the manuscript. A recent published paper (Perivoliotis K, et al. Low-Grade Appendiceal Mucinous Neoplasm (LAMN) Primarily Diagnosed as an Ovarian Mucinous Tumor. Case Rep Surg. 2021 Apr 22;2021:5523736. doi: 10.1155/2021/5523736.) duscussed the same subject. Please refer the present work and revise your discussion.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68959

Title: Treatment process of a giant low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm: a case

report

Reviewer's code: 05908094 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-10 23:33

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-17 11:32

Review time: 6 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this case, the adnexal mass was suspected and was admitted to the obstetrics and gynecology department. However, preoperative MRI diagnosed it as an appendiceal tumor and adnexal mass could be excluded. This article is considered to be useful in that MRI greatly contributed to preoperative diagnosis. Therefore, the findings and considerations for images should be enhanced. >The image explained in Figure 1 is only for diagnosis, and the findings are scarce. Please consider in "discussion" whether there were any findings suggestive of LAMN by echo or CT. > It is suspected to be mucinous neoplasm on MRI of the appendix, please describe in the "Imaging examination" what kind of findings you suspected to be a malignant neoplasm. > In "Discussion", the characteristic of MRI findings of LAMN are shown. This case is a perforated case, It is interesting that "gourd-shaped" appendix was shown, although LAMN was perforated already. The "gourd-shaped" or characteristic picture should be on the figure. > The image in Figure 2 and the actual figure legends are different, so please correct them.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68959

Title: Treatment process of a giant low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm: a case

report

Reviewer's code: 05480421
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD, MSc
Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-10

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-29 10:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-29 10:55

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



Authors have succesfully solved previous issues.