

#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67548

Title: De Garengeot hernia with avascular necrosis of the appendix: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05077783 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-27 08:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-27 16:31

**Review time:** 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a detailed account of a De Garengeot hernia, with high quality images of the laparoscoopic procedure. The case is of scientific interest, but writing in the english language needs revision, particularly in the introduction and discussion sections. It would be interesting to include what kind of antibiotic treatment was used and for what period of time. In figure 4, one of the subtitles is in portuguese (external iliac artery).



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67548

Title: De Garengeot hernia with avascular necrosis of the appendix: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05429607 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Lithuania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-28 12:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-03 15:02

**Review time:** 5 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, First of all, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery. This is an interesting article about an unexpected finding of De Garengeot hernia in a patient with right grown pain. However, the manuscript's scientific English language needs major revision. There are also many text formatting errors, such as missed or double spaces, unnecessary symbols, capital letters in the middle of the sentence, unjustified paragraphs. The case report aims to raise the clinician's awareness of De Garengeot's hernia. It also provides detailed laparoscopic images. In my opinion, it might become worth publishing after major revision and supplementation. 1. Core tip and ORCID IDs are missing in the manuscript file. 2. Author contributions are not detailed according to the journal requirements. All authors and their role in writing this manuscript must be provided. 3. The Case presentation part is structured not according to the journal requirements. Please organize your manuscript using correct paragraphs (chief complaints, history of present illness, history of past illness, personal and family history, physical examination, laboratory examinations, imaging examinations, final diagnosis, treatment, outcome and follow-up). 4. The laboratory examination part could be more detailed, e.g., CRP, HgB, other important tests. 5. Do you have the primary ultrasound images of the inguinal B-ultrasound? 6. Do you have histological images? 7. Please correct figure 4 – one of the terms is in Latin: "arteria iliaca externa." 8. In the discussion part, I suggest summarizing the recommended surgical treatment options (whether or not to perform appendectomy) in a graphical way: a scheme or a table. This way, it would be clearer and more memorable. 9. Please add DOI and PMID in the references part. 10. Please correct the text formatting errors.



# RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67548

Title: De Garengeot hernia with avascular necrosis of the appendix: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05077783 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiaojian Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-15 08:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-15 12:55

**Review time:** 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The reviewed version of the manuscript shows significant improvement in formatting and includes a more detailed account of the treatment in general and of the surgical procedure in particular. Writing in the english needs further revision, however.



# RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67548

Title: De Garengeot hernia with avascular necrosis of the appendix: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05429607 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Lithuania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-27

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiaojian Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-15 18:59

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-15 19:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author, First of all, thank you for revising your manuscript. It looks better after revision. However, the manuscript's English language and text editing still need revision (some grammar and spelling mistakes, missed spaces). The raised issues are answered partly. The answering reviewers letter is very unclear. You should provide exact answers to raised questions in the answering reviewers letter (the response "We have added in the manuscript" is very abstract. There is also one question not answered in the letter ("In the discussion part, I suggest summarizing the recommended surgical treatment options (whether or not to perform appendectomy) in a graphical way: a scheme or a table. This way, it would be clearer and more memorable). Thank you for providing additional images. However, you have to indicate findings with arrows (figure 1). Please provide magnification for the histological image. Also, arrows and text in the figures (ppt) must be editable. Figure legends are not formatted according to the guidelines. The legends need titles before descriptions. All in all, the manuscript still needs revision.