

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69327

Title: Three-dimensional inlay-guided endodontics applied in variant root canals: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 05866874

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Physiotherapist, Professor, Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-12 21:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-21 21:55

Review time: 9 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors: I must acknowledge that your work is excellent and the clinical case presented is of clinical relevance. However, in my opinion, you have given too much importance to the dental implant technique and not so much to the patient. In daily clinical practice it is vitally important to listen to the patient, but in this type of publication this is not enough, it must be measurable and quantifiable. I miss an evaluation test before and after the intervention on the patient, including clinical changes in the subject. I suggest that they include a quality of life or pain test and a statistical analysis of the minimum detectable change (MCD) or minimum clinically detectable change (MICD). In addition, it must be stated that the intervention on the patient complies with the requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki. Best regards.