

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 61512

**Title:** The Chinese Association For the Study of Pain (CASP): Experts Consensus on Diagnosis and Treatment for Lumbar Disc Herniation

**Reviewer's code:** 05099117

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-12-10

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-01-07 14:18

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-01-23 03:24

**Review time:** 15 Days and 13 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript described experts consensus on diagnosis and treatment for lumbar disc herniation. This consensus has high clinical guiding value for treating pain caused by LDH. However, it has some errors need to be corrected. 1. Table 1 is disordered and needs to be reformatted. 2. Selective nerve root injection could be used as one of the diagnostic tools. It should be reflected in the text. What's more, the recommended level of selective nerve root injection is questionable. More RCTs evidences are needed to support the conclusion. 3. The evidence based medicine of needle-knife surgery is not sufficient. Please provide relevant literature evidence. 4. The recommended level of percutaneous disc collagenase chemical lysis needs to be reconfirmed. 5. Articles about RCTs and guidelines are rare in References. References in recent 3 years are relatively few.