

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 79510

Title: Post-COVID-19 cholangiopathy: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05401900 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-25 20:42

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-28 08:03

Review time: 2 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for sending and submitting your article This manuscript is not well organized and unfortunately the principles that should be observed in systematic review articles do not exist. Most of the discussion and introduction parts are written based on unrelated articles, therefore the acceptable result that should be derived from this article will not be obtained.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 79510

Title: Post-COVID-19 cholangiopathy: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05213310 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-22 06:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-22 07:10

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Change the title of the article to better reflect the study's purpose. 2. paraphrasing the study abstract and explaining all abbreviations mentioned in the study abstract or otherwise in the article. 3. Adherence to emphasizing the research problem in the final paragraph of the study's introduction, with an explanation of how to address the research problem within the framework of the study's objective. 4. The study's introduction is lengthy. Please keep the main information as brief as possible and avoid the side headings. 5. Some references explaining the protocol used can be added to the materials and methods section. 6. Working on expanding the materials and methods section further by clarifying the statistical method used and how to select research samples. 7. The study's title specifies that it is a systematic review. I believe the manner in which the data was processed in the results section is incompatible with the nature of the study. This flaw should be fixed. 8. Avoiding side headings in the discussion section and devoting the final paragraph of the discussion to highlighting the study's limitations, strengths, and future directions. 9. The discussion section is very brief and does not meet the requirements of the discussion criteria; try to address this weakness. 10. Rewrite the conclusion to demonstrate whether or not the study's objective was met. 11. References must be written in accordance with the journal's policies, with a commitment to be recent references dating back to 2015 or more. Good Luck,



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

Manuscript NO: 79510

Title: Post-COVID-19 cholangiopathy: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05213310 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-25

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-19 09:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-19 09:20

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author(s), Thank you for your time and effort in amending the manuscript as I requested. Kind regards,