

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7560

Title: An overview and a meta-analysis of the pancreatic melanoma based on analysis of case reports

Reviewer code: 02459176

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-11-28 09:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Offer complete retrieval approach and search strategy. 2. Meta-analysis ? Maybe named meta-synthesis or systematic review

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7560

Title: An overview and a meta-analysis of the pancreatic melanoma based on analysis of case reports

Reviewer code: 02458171

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-12-02 03:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of the research is very relevant and interesting to a wide range of readers around the world, because presentations on pancreatic melanoma are very limited. Congratulations to the author, who made a great job and looked really very many scientific articles on the subject. However, it seems to me that in two separate manuscripts - one of the melanoma case report and the second one - a meta-analysis of the case reports, presentations would be more precised and their scientific value would be higher. I suggest to the authors instead of one manuscript to enter two separate - the first about case report and second one - meta-analysis of case report with enriched data. With pleasure would be reviewer of both.

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

ESPS Manuscript NO: 7560

Title: An overview and a meta-analysis of the pancreatic melanoma based on analysis of case reports

Reviewer code: 02458583

Science editor: Qi, Yuan

Date sent for review: 2013-11-25 21:24

Date reviewed: 2013-12-06 16:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study. Please change the title to: 'Pancreatic melanoma: a case report and systematic review of the literature' or something similar. Search strategy is not really clear as 313 abstracts were screened; however, 397 articles were excluded from 313. One study was not case report. If it was case series, it should be also included. Abbreviations should be defined in the text and minor English revision is also necessary. Please clarify whether median survival was 10 months or 6-12 months. In the table, for cases whom were diagnosed by CT, MRI or PET, please report if Ultrasonography was normal or not-reported.