

**ESPS Peer-review Report**

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10322

**Title:** Comparison of functional outcomes in retropubic, laparoscopic and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 00505635

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-26 21:04

**Date reviewed:** 2014-03-27 01:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is an interesting topic for Urologists.

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10322**Title:** Comparison of functional outcomes in retropubic, laparoscopic and robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a meta-analysis**Reviewer code:** 01212715**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-26 21:04**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-16 21:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a meta-analysis manuscript to compare the functional outcomes among three radical prostatectomy procedures. The project design and analyses of the data are acceptable. The figures and tables well summarize the existing data. Overall the manuscript is well written especially for the authors with English as non-native language, but there are still some language errors, such as the sentences of "although...., but...." And " the results needs...", etc. Therefore, the manuscript need some editorial work.