

**ESPS Peer-review Report****Name of Journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10072**Title:** Role of statin on mortality outcome in pneumonia patients: A meta-analysis**Reviewer code:** 02451539**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-12 09:48**Date reviewed:** 2014-04-19 14:07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Authors done a meta-analysis to identify the effectiveness of statin use on mortality due to pneumonia. Authors conducted study well, but some issues related to statistics needs to be addressed. Comments inserted in the manuscript.

**ESPS Peer-review Report**
**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Meta-Analysis

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 10072

**Title:** Role of statin on mortality outcome in pneumonia patients: A meta-analysis

**Reviewer code:** 02446627

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-03-12 09:48

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-07 05:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Authors did attempt to address an important issue. Feel can be better if they include peto plot to show the CI for the meta analysis. Also it would be good to have the tables of different studies so readers can review different studies and the number of subject and common findings at a glance